

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,091	04/03/2000	Jose De La Torre-Bueno PH.D.	10225-023001	4964
20985 75	90 03/20/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			BALI, VIKKRAM	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/542,091	TORRE-BUENO PH.D., JOSE DE LA				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vikkram Bali	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 D</u>	<u>ecember 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	~ ·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	: G.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/542,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filled on 12/8/2005, all the amendments to the claims have been entered and the action follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 recites the limitation "the remote view station" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 34 is rejected because claim 34 depends on claim 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 31, 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novik (US 5432871).

Application/Control Number: 09/542,091

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claim 31, Novik discloses a method for obtaining the image at the first location the image representing the medical image, (see figure 1, 110, and col. 8, II 53-58); compressing the image to form a compressed image data at the first location, (see figure 1, 116 compression processor); sending the compressed image from the first to the second location (see figure 1, 114 transmitter sending the image data via 106 from location 102 to 104) the evaluating person is located (see col. 3, lines 60-61 transmitted to a distant user); allowing selection of a region at the second location, (col. 4, lines 2-4, viewer selects a view of interest "region") sending the indication of that region to the first location, (see col. 4, lines 2-5, transmit the coordinates of the desired field of view back to the source) as claimed. However, he fails to disclose explicitly carrying out a medical analysis on the region with in the region selected by the evaluating person. But, Novik teaches adjusting the equipment as necessary to capture the image of the field area selected "region" by the user at the second location. Therefor, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of the Novik of adjusting the equipment to attain the medical images "medical analysis" of the selected field of view "the region" as necessary, thereby making the Novik's system more medically user friendly.

With respect to claim 33 as best understood, Novik further discloses region information defines the selected region of the displayed medical image, (see col. 8, lines 44-48, 57-60) as claimed.

With respect to claim 34 as best understood, Novik further discloses a series of pixel coordinates, (see col. 10, lines 18-19) as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/542,091

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claim 35, Novik further discloses allowing selection selecting the region ... includes receiving input from a pointing device ... image, (see col. 7, lines 1-8 and col. 10, lines 12-15) as claimed.

Claims 36 and 37 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claims 31 and 34, as the subject matter of both the sets are similar.

Claim 40 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claim 35, as the subject matter of both claims are similar.

3. Claim 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novik in view of Wood et al (US 5851186).

Novik fails to specifically disclose sending the compressed medical image over a global packet switched network, as claimed. Novik teaches transmitting the compressed medical image (figure 2, 203). But, Wood teaches a global packet switch network (see col. 4, lines 10-10) as claimed. It would have been obvious tone ordinary skilled in the art the time of invention to use the teaching to Wood of global packet switched network to transit the medical images of the Novik as the global packet switched transmission "became the choice of internet worked computer s due to the advantages of cost and performance" (see Wood col. 4, lines 11-13).

4. Claims 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novik in view of Echerer et al (US 5740267).

Application/Control Number: 09/542,091 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claims 38 and 39 Novik discloses the invention substantially as claimed and as described above in claim 36. However, Novik fails to disclose image analysis to generated a score and communicate the score to the remote view station for display and image server includes a data base associating a diagnosis received from the remote view station, as claimed in claims 38 and 39. Echerer teaches generated a score and communicate the score to the remote view station for display and image server includes a data base associating a diagnosis received from the remote view station, (see col. 5, lines 25-37, figure 7 for display, col. 7, lines 10-30, col. 10, lines 30-36) as claimed in claims 38 and 39. it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the two references as they are analogous because they are solving the similar problem of analyzing the medical images. The teachings of Echerer of having the diagnosis codes communicated and displayed can be incorporated in to the Novik system in order to attain a computer analytical and diagnostic system (see Echerer col. 3, lines 65-67) for motivation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 571.272.7415. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571.272.7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/542,091 Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vikkram/B

Art Unit 2023

Vb

March 01, 2006